

SWACHH SURVEKSHAN 2025-26

SWACCHATA KI NAYI PEHEL- BADHAYEIN HAATH, KAREIN SAFAI SAATH



TABLE OF CONTENTS

01	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	Page 4
02	EVOLUTION OF SWACHH SURVEKSHAN	Page 5
03	WHAT'S NEW & KEY OBJECTIVES	Page 6
04	KEY POINTS	Page 7
05	SWACHH SURVEKSHAN 2024 – OVERVIEW	Page 8
06	SCORING AND VALIDATION MATRIX	Page 9
07	SAMPLING FRAMEWORK	Page 10
08	SECTION WISE INDICATORS	Page 12
09	GANGA/RIVER TOWN ASSESSMENT INDICATORS	Page 75
10	SUPER SWACHH LEAGUE	Page 77



Executive Summary

The Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs (MOHUA) initiated the Swachh Survekshan Survey in 2016 to evaluate and encourage Urban Sanitation improvements. The inaugural survey assessed 73 cities with a population of over one million, followed by successive editions: Swachh Survekshan 2017 (ranking 434 cities), Swachh Survekshan 2018 (4,203 cities), Swachh Survekshan 2019 (4,237 cities), Swachh Survekshan 2020 (4,242 cities), Swachh Survekshan 2021 (4,320 cities), Swachh Survekshan 2022 (4,354 cities), Swachh Survekshan 2023 (4,477 cities) and and the recently concluded Swachh Survekshan 2024 which ranked 4,589 cities. Building on this momentum, the tenth edition of the survey, Swachh Survekshan, will evaluate all cities under the Swachh Bharat Mission-Urban (SBM-U).


The survey aims to foster Large-scale Cltizen participation, ensure the sustainability of garbage-free and open defecation - free initiatives, provide validated outcomes through third-party certification, institutionalize online processes, and promote awareness about creating habitable and sustainable urban spaces. Additionally, it seeks to encourage healthy competition among cities to enhance service delivery and cleanliness standards.

To sustain progress, the performance of Urban Local Bodies (ULBs) will be assessed annually. ULBs must regularly update their monthly Management Information System (MIS), which will be validated through citizen validation/feedback before final rankings are determined by MoHUA. Systematic documentation of indicator-wise progress will be uploaded and verified during the final survey in February-March 2026.

The New Swachh Survekshan indicators emphasize on parameters such as visible cleanliness, waste segregation, collection and transportation, waste processing, dumpsite remediation, waste water treatment, reuse, and faecal sludge management. To enhance understanding and engagement, MoHUA will conduct virtual interactions with states and ULBs, detailing the survey methodology, process, and expectations.

Citizen participation remains a cornerstone of the initiative. Strategic use of digital, social, and traditional media, along with city-level campaigns, will raise awareness and encourage active involvement. These efforts aim to empower citizens to contribute to their city's performance in this national cleanliness competition, ensuring a cleaner and more sustainable urban future.

EVOLUTION OF SWACHH SURVEKSHAN



10th Edition of World's Largest Urban Cleanliness Survey

WHAT'S NEW?

The 10th edition of world's largest urban cleanliness

- 1 Round the year citizen feedback through digital platforms
- 2 Increased weightage of citizen feedback
- **3** Expansion of Ganga Towns to River Towns
- **4** Separate matrix for Coastal Areas
- **5** New Award category for Swachh Shehar Jodis
- 6 New indicator for prevention of open defecation and open urination added
- 7 Special focus on tourists/Heritage/Religious and high footfall places
- 8 New indicator on behaviour change programme in schools

KEY OBJECTIVES OF SWACHH SURVEKSHAN

Act as enabler for Mission acceleration in the cities

Foster healthy competition among cities to improve their performance on sanitation & waste management.

Encourage large scale citizen participation and create awareness about importance of Swachhata

Improved sanitation services delivery by cities to its citizens.

SWACHH SURVEKSHAN 2025-26 OVERVIEW

KEY POINTS

01

ULBs formed on or before 31st Dec' 24 will be assessed in SS 2025.

02

ULBs formed after 31st Dec' 24 may be included on formal request to MoHUA from the respective State/UT.

03

ULBs must maintain complete & accurate data on Swachhatam Portal.

04


ULBs must maintain updated contact details of their representatives, on the Swachhatam Portal.

CATEGORIZATION OF ULBs FOR RANKING



SWACHH SURVEKSHAN 2025-26 OVERVIEW

DISTRIBUTION OF MARKS (12,500 MARKS)



DETAILED BIFURCATION OF 10,500 MARKS

Sections	% Marks	Marks	Indicators	Sub- Indicators
1. Visible Cleanliness	15%	1,500	12	33
2. Segregation, Collection & Transportation of waste	10%	1,000	3	12
3. Solid Waste Management	15%	1,500	11	25
4. Access to Sanitation	10%	1,000	4	36
5. Used Water Management	10%	1,000	5	8
6. Mechanization of desludging services	5%	500	3	19
7. Advocacy for Swachhta	15%	1,500	6	24
8. Ecosystem Strengthening & Institutional Parameters	8%	1,000	2	5
9. Overall Welfare of Sanitation Workers	5%	500	2	9
10. Citizen Feedback & Grievance Redressal	10%	1,000	2	2
Total		10,500	50	173

^{*} Indicators which are not applicable for particular category of ULBs, the marks will be redistributed proportionally to other relevant indicators. $\ \ \, 8$

SCORING AND VALIDATION MATRIX

- **STEP 1:** ULB should submit monthly MIS on swachhatam portal.
- <u>STEP 2:</u> All ULBs who have submitted the monthly MIS on swachhatam portal will become eligible for field assessment.
- STEP 3: Field Assessment will be carried out in the ULBs based on the sampling framework.
- **STEP 4:** Based on field work, percentage of samples which pass the assessment will be arrived at.
- **STEP 5:** As per the scheme of marking of the respective indicator, the ULB gets the marks.
- <u>STEP 6:</u> There will additional penalty for each indicator will be levied for mismatch between claim of the ULB on Swachhatam Portal(MIS) vs Field Assessment by Third Party Agency.

The additional penalty in Step 6 will be based on the table given below and will be deducted from the Total Marks Scored by the ULB out of 12,500 (On-ground assessment including citizen feedback+GFC+ODF).

Deviation Percentage (Claim vs Field Inspection)	- ve marks to be deducted from overall score per Indicator
upto - 20%	0 Marks
Between -21% to -30%	15 Marks
Between -31% & -40%	20 Marks
Between -41% & -50%	25 Marks
-50% & above	30 Marks

Example of the scenarios of additional penalty for providing inaccurate info on MIS (Swachhatam portal):

Indicator No.	MIS Status	Max Marks	Samples Pass %	MIS Claim	Marks Scored	Deviation % Claim vs Field	Additional Penalty
1.1	Submitted	100	55%	95%	55	-40%	20 Marks
1.2	Not Submitted	100	90%	100%	0	-10%	0
1.3	Submitted	100	80%	85%	80%	-5%	0
6.1	Submitted	200	45%	90%	180	-45%	25 Marks
Total – ve Marks to be deducted from Overall Marks of ULB						45 Marks	

In the above case if the ULB has scored 6875 Marks out of 12,500 Marks. The –ve Marking of additional 45 Marks would be applied on the 6875 marks. The ULB would score 6830 Marks out of 12500 Marks and will be ranked accordingly in its respective population category.

ULBs are advised to check and update all the information as per actual field situations in Swachhatam portal to avoid facing Additional Penalty.

SAMPLING FRAMEWORK FOR ASSESSMENT

Type of Location		SAMPLING FRAM			tion Categories		
Residential Areas	SI. No.	Type of Location	<20K				> 10 Lakh
Residential Areas	Tota	I (Except Processing Facilities)*	463	867	1,342	2,225	4,335
Commercial Areas/ Public Areas	Areas/Locations						
3 Slums	1	Residential Areas	4	6	16	20	30
4	2	Commercial Areas/ Public Areas	4	6	16	20	30
S	3	Slums	0	0	6	16	24
6	4	Schools	4	4	12	16	20
7	5	Vendor Zones	3	3	6	8	10
Transformed/Identified Cleanliness Target Units (CTUs)	6	Waste to Wonder/Sculpture	2	2	4	6	12
Cleanliness Target Units (CTUs) 2	7	Parks and Gardens	2	2	4	6	12
Sites, Beach (wherever applicable) and Monuments	8	-	2	2	4	6	12
11 Bulk Waste Generators 15 15 20 25 35 12 Water Bodies 6 6 16 16 20 13 Storm Water Drains/Nallahs 6 6 12 16 24 14 RRR Centers 1 1 3 4 6 SWM 15 Wet Waste Processing Facilities All Processing Facilities 16 Dry Waste Processing Facilities All Processing Facilities 17 DHW & SW Processing Facilities All Processing Facilities 18 C&D Waste Processing Facilities All Processing Facilities 19 Remediation Sites All Completed and under progress sites 20 Scientific Landfill Sites All Scientific Landfill Sites UWM and Sanitation 21 Sewage Treatment Plants All Sewage Treatment Plants 22 Fecal Sludge Treatment Plant All Fecal Sludge Treatment Plants 23 Public Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 24 </td <td>9</td> <td>Sites, Beach (wherever</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>6</td>	9	Sites, Beach (wherever	1	1	3	4	6
12 Water Bodies 6 6 16 16 20 13 Storm Water Drains/Nallahs 6 6 12 16 24 14 RRR Centers 1 1 3 4 6 SWM SWM SWM SWM SWM All Processing Facilities All Sewage Tractiment Plants All Sewage Treatment Plants All Sewage Treatment Plants All Sewage Treatment Plants All Fecal Sludge Treatment Plants All Fecal Sludge Treatment Plants All Sewage Treatment Plants <td>10</td> <td>Transport Hubs</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td>	10	Transport Hubs	1	1	2	2	4
13 Storm Water Drains/Nallahs 6 6 12 16 24 14 RRR Centers 1 1 3 4 6 SWM SWM SWW 15 Wet Waste Processing Facilities All Processing Facilities 16 Dry Waste Processing Facilities All Processing Facilities 17 DHW & SW Processing Facilities All Processing Facilities 18 C&D Waste Processing Facilities All Processing Facilities 19 Remediation Sites All Completed and under progress sites 20 Scientific Landfill Sites UWM and Sanitation 21 Sewage Treatment Plants All Sewage Treatment Plants 22 Fecal Sludge Treatment Plants All Fecal Sludge Treatment Plants 23 Public Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 24 Community Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 <td< td=""><td>11</td><td>Bulk Waste Generators</td><td>15</td><td>15</td><td>20</td><td>25</td><td>35</td></td<>	11	Bulk Waste Generators	15	15	20	25	35
1 1 3 4 6 SWM 15 Wet Waste Processing Facilities All Processing Facilities 16 Dry Waste Processing Facilities All Processing Facilities 17 DHW & SW Processing Facilities All Processing Facilities 18 C&D Waste Processing Facilities All Processing Facilities 19 Remediation Sites All Completed and under progress sites 20 Scientific Landfill Sites All Scientific Landfill Sites UWM and Sanitation 21 Sewage Treatment Plants All Sewage Treatment Plants 22 Fecal Sludge Treatment Plants All Fecal Sludge Treatment Plants 23 Public Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 24 Community Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 25 Urinals 4 4 6 20 30 26 Safaimitra Equipment & Vehicle Sheds All Safai Mitra Equipment and Vehicle Sheds Citizen' Validation (Households/Shops)	12	Water Bodies	6	6	16	16	20
SWM 15 Wet Waste Processing Facilities 16 Dry Waste Processing Facilities 17 DHW & SW Processing Facilities 18 C&D Waste Processing Facilities 19 Remediation Sites 20 Scientific Landfill Sites WWM and Sanitation 21 Sewage Treatment Plants 22 Fecal Sludge Treatment Plant 23 Public Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 24 Community Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 25 Urinals 4 4 6 20 30 26 Safaimitra Equipment & Vehicle Sheds Citizen's Voice 27 Citizen Validation 28 Citizen Feedback (on-ground) 20 400 600 1,000 2,000	13	Storm Water Drains/Nallahs	6	6	12	16	24
15 Wet Waste Processing Facilities 16 Dry Waste Processing Facilities 17 DHW & SW Processing Facilities 18 C&D Waste Processing Facilities 19 Remediation Sites 20 Scientific Landfill Sites 21 Sewage Treatment Plants 22 Fecal Sludge Treatment Plant 23 Public Toilets 4 4 4 6 20 30 24 Community Toilets 4 4 4 6 20 30 25 Urinals 4 4 4 6 20 30 26 Safaimitra Equipment & Vehicle Sheds Citizen Validation Citizen's Voice Citizen Validation Citizen Feedback (on-ground) 200 400 600 1,000 2,000	14	RRR Centers	1	1	3	4	6
16 Dry Waste Processing Facilities 17 DHW & SW Processing Facilities 18 C&D Waste Processing Facilities 19 Remediation Sites 20 Scientific Landfill Sites 10 Sewage Treatment Plants 21 Sewage Treatment Plant 22 Fecal Sludge Treatment Plant 23 Public Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 24 Community Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 25 Urinals 4 4 6 20 30 26 Safaimitra Equipment & Vehicle Sheds Citizen Validation Citizen's Voice 27 Citizen Validation (Households/Shops) 28 Citizen Feedback (on-ground) 20 4NI Processing Facilities All Processing Facilites All Completed and under progress sites All Scientific Landfill Sites All S			sv	VM			
17 DHW & SW Processing Facilities 18 C&D Waste Processing Facilities 19 Remediation Sites 20 Scientific Landfill Sites 19 All completed and under progress sites 20 Scientific Landfill Sites 19 UWM and Sanitation 21 Sewage Treatment Plants 22 Fecal Sludge Treatment Plant 23 Public Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 24 Community Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 25 Urinals 4 4 6 20 30 26 Safaimitra Equipment & Vehicle Sheds Citizen's Voice 27 Citizen Validation (Households/Shops) 28 Citizen Feedback (on-ground) 20 400 600 1,000 2,000	15	Wet Waste Processing Facilities		Д	II Processing Fa	cilities	
18 C&D Waste Processing Facilities 19 Remediation Sites 20 Scientific Landfill Sites 10 Sewage Treatment Plants 21 Sewage Treatment Plants 22 Fecal Sludge Treatment Plant 23 Public Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 24 Community Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 25 Urinals 4 4 6 20 30 26 Safaimitra Equipment & Vehicle Sheds Citizen's Voice 27 Citizen Validation (Households/Shops) 28 Citizen Feedback (on-ground) 20 400 600 1,000 2,000	16	Dry Waste Processing Facilities		Д	II Processing Fa	cilities	
All completed and under progress sites 20 Scientific Landfill Sites CUWM and Sanitation 21 Sewage Treatment Plants 22 Fecal Sludge Treatment Plant 23 Public Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 24 Community Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 25 Urinals 4 4 6 20 30 26 Safaimitra Equipment & Vehicle Sheds Citizen's Voice 27 Citizen Validation (Households/Shops) 28 Citizen Feedback (on-ground) 20 400 600 1,000 2,000	17	DHW & SW Processing Facilities		Д	II Processing Fa	cilities	
Scientific Landfill Sites	18	C&D Waste Processing Facilities		Д	II Processing Fa	cilities	
Sewage Treatment Plants All Sewage Treatment Plants	19	Remediation Sites		All comp	leted and under	progress sites	
21 Sewage Treatment Plants All Sewage Treatment Plants 22 Fecal Sludge Treatment Plant All Fecal Sludge Treatment Plants 23 Public Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 24 Community Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 25 Urinals 4 4 6 20 30 26 Safaimitra Equipment & Vehicle Sheds All Safai Mitra Equipment and Vehicle Sheds Citizen's Voice 27 Citizen Validation (Households/Shops) 200 400 600 1000 2000 28 Citizen Feedback (on-ground) 200 400 600 1,000 2,000	20	Scientific Landfill Sites		Al	l Scientific Land	fill Sites	
22 Fecal Sludge Treatment Plants All Fecal Sludge Treatment Plants 23 Public Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 24 Community Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 25 Urinals 4 4 6 20 30 26 Safaimitra Equipment & Vehicle Sheds All Safai Mitra Equipment and Vehicle Sheds Citizen's Voice 27 Citizen Validation (Households/Shops) 200 400 600 1000 2000 28 Citizen Feedback (on-ground) 200 400 600 1,000 2,000			UWM and	Sanitation			
23 Public Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 24 Community Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 25 Urinals 4 4 6 20 30 26 Safaimitra Equipment & Vehicle Sheds All Safai Mitra Equipment and Vehicle Sheds Citizen's Voice 27 Citizen Validation (Households/Shops) 200 400 600 1000 2000 28 Citizen Feedback (on-ground) 200 400 600 1,000 2,000	21	Sewage Treatment Plants		All S	Sewage Treatme	ent Plants	
24 Community Toilets 4 4 6 20 30 25 Urinals 4 4 6 20 30 26 Safaimitra Equipment & Vehicle Sheds All Safai Mitra Equipment and Vehicle Sheds Citizen's Voice 27 Citizen Validation (Households/Shops) 200 400 600 1000 2000 28 Citizen Feedback (on-ground) 200 400 600 1,000 2,000	22	Fecal Sludge Treatment Plant		All Fed	al Sludge Treat	ment Plants	
25 Urinals 4 4 6 20 30 26 Safaimitra Equipment & Vehicle Sheds Citizen's Voice 27 Citizen Validation (Households/Shops) 200 400 600 1000 2000 28 Citizen Feedback (on-ground) 200 400 600 1,000 2,000	23	Public Toilets	4	4	6	20	30
26 Safaimitra Equipment & Vehicle Sheds Citizen's Voice 27 Citizen Validation (Households/Shops) 28 Citizen Feedback (on-ground) 200 400 600 1,000 2,000	24	Community Toilets	4	4	6	20	30
Sheds Sheds All Saral Mitra Equipment and Venicle Sheds	25	Urinals	4	4	6	20	30
27 Citizen Validation (Households/Shops) 200 400 600 1000 2000 28 Citizen Feedback (on-ground) 200 400 600 1,000 2,000	26	· ·		All Safai Mit	ra Equipment a	nd Vehicle She	ds
27 (Households/Shops) 200 400 600 1000 2000 28 Citizen Feedback (on-ground) 200 400 600 1,000 2,000			Citizen	's Voice			
	27		200	400	600	1000	2000
		•				•	•

^{*} Total number of locations mentioned above excludes processing facilities. The agency will cover all solid and liquid waste processing facilities as listed in the ULB.

ASSESSMENT LOCATIONS



Residential/ Commercial / Public Areas




Back Lanes in Residential/Commercial/ Public Areas



Twin Litter Bins



Garbage Points
Transformation/Cleanliness
Target Units (CTUs)



Red Spots



Water Bodies



Beautification and Aesthetics



Slums



Schools



Tourist Places, Monuments etc.



Storm Water Drains



Dry waste Processing Facilities



RRR (Reduce, Reuse, Recycle) Centre



Scientific Landfills



Remediation Sites



Public Toilets, Community Toilets and Urinals



STPs & FSTPs



Equipment for Mechanisation of Desludging Services



Greenery on Road Sides and Barren Lands



Wet Waste Processing Facilities

SECTION 1: VISIBLE CLEANLINESS

1,500 MARKS, 15%

No.	Indicator Description	Marks
1.1	"Once a day" sweeping in all Residential Areas on daily basis	150
1.2	Sweeping in Commercial Areas, Public Areas etc.	150
1.3	Clean and well-maintained Back lanes	100
1.4	ULB is Open Storage Bin Free and has installed adequate twin bins	100
1.5	ULB is Cleanliness Target Units(CTUs) free and has no GVPs	150
1.6	All areas are free from Red Spots	75
1.7	All areas are free from Yellow Spots	75
1.8	Cleanliness of Storm Water Drains and Nallahs	100
1.9	Cleanliness of water bodies and its surrounding areas	150
1.10	Aesthetics, Beautification and Urban Air Quality	200
1.11	Cleanliness of Slums	150
1.12	Cleanliness within School premises	100
	TOTAL	1,500

INDICATOR 1.1 - ONCE A DAY SWEEPING

Is "Once a day sweeping" being carried out in residential areas, parks and garden within the ULB on daily basis?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB is carrying out "Once a day sweeping" in residential areas including the backlanes, parks and gardens within its jurisdiction to maintain swachhata.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the Residential Areas (including the backlanes), parks and gardens within the jurisdiction of the ULB and capturing videos and photographs of the area to assess their level of cleanliness. Additionally, Citizen Validation(CV) Interviews will also be conducted in every ward as per the sample size mentioned for the category of the ULB and their valuable opinion will be considered for the indicator as well.

Citizen Validation

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY					
Very Small ULBs (< 20k Population)	Small ULBs (20k - 50k Population)	Medium ULBs (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big ULBs (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus ULBs (> 10 Lakh population)		
~	✓	✓	✓	✓		

SCHEME OF MARKING MAX MARKS: 150

 $\textit{Marks Scored} = [\frac{\textit{Total Citizen Validation samples Passed} + \textit{Total Samples Assessed}}{\textit{Total Samples Assessed}}] \ x \ \textit{Maximum Marks for the indicator}$

- 1. All Residential Areas, Parks and Gardens within the jurisdiction of the ULB must be listed on the swachhatam portal.
- 2. The ULB will also need to update the total count of Households in the swachhatam portal.
- 3. During the field assessment, agency to engage residents and collect feedback on whether sweeping is done once a day in residential areas, backlanes, parks and gardens.
- 4. Conduct observations in residential areas, backlanes, parks and gardens to check if sweeping is done once daily.

INDICATOR 1.2 - TWICE A DAY SWEEPING

Is sweeping being carried out in commercial areas, public area, transport hubs, tourist places (include religious place, heritage site, beach etc.), monuments and street food /vending zones?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB is carrying out "twice a day sweeping" in it's commercial areas, public areas, transport hubs, tourist places (include religious place, heritage site, beach etc.) , parks and gardens within its jurisdiction to maintain swachhata.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the commercial areas, public areas, transport hubs, tourist places (include religious place, heritage site, beach etc.), monuments and street food/vending zones within the ULB's jurisdiction and photographs and videos will be captured. Additionally, Citizen Validation(CV) Interviews will also be conducted with shop keepers/tourists as per the sample size

Citizen Validation

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY					
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)		
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Once a day Sweeping (125 Marks)	Once a day Sweeping (125 Marks)	Once a day Sweeping (125 Marks)	Twice a day Sweeping	Twice a day sweeping		

SCHEME OF MARKING

MAX MARKS: 150

 $\textit{Marks Scored} = [\frac{\textit{Total Citizen Validation samples Passed} + \textit{Total Samples Assessed}}{\textit{Total Samples Assessed}}] \ x \ \textit{Maximum Marks for the indicator}$

INDICATOR 1.3 CLEAN & WELL MAINTAINED BACKLANES

Weather back lanes in commercial area, Mohallahs and narrow streets are clean and well-maintained?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB ensures that back lanes in commercial area, Mohallahs and narrow streets are clean and well-maintained. This includes ensuring clean and well-maintained walls, litter-free areas, no stagnant water or choked/overflowing drains, and the absence of wild bushes or shrubs.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the commercial area, Mohallahs and narrow streets, within the ULB's jurisdiction. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY					
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)		
✓	~	✓	✓	Beautification of Back lanes		

SCHEME OF MARKING	MARKS: 25+25=100
Percentage of the back lanes have clean and well-maintained walls? (Beautification of Back lanes mandatory for Million Plus cities)	25
Percentage of the back lanes completely free of litter?	25
Percentage of the back lanes free from stagnant water and choked or overflowing drains?	25
Percentage of the back lanes free from the growth of wild bushes or shrubs?	25

 $\textit{Marks Scored} = [\frac{\textit{Total Citizen Validation samples Passed} + \textit{Total Direct Observation Samples Passed}}{\textit{Total Samples Assessed}}] \ x \ \textit{Maximum Marks for the indicator}$

- 1. In case of No commercial area, Mohallahs and Narrow streets on Swachhatam Portal, 0 marks will be given to the ULB in this indicator
- 2. For Million plus cities Beautification of Back Lanes is mandatory.

INDICATOR 1.4 - NO OPEN STORAGE BINS

Whether the ULB is free of open storage bins (> 100 litres) and has installed twin litter bins in high footfall areas?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB has been able to make it's areas within it's jurisdiction large storage bin free and has installed(fixed) adequate number of twin bins in high footfall areas such as commercial areas, public areas, transport hubs, tourist places (include religious place, heritage site, beach etc.), parks and gardens for public convenience as per guidelines.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the residential areas, commercial areas, public areas such as transport hubs, tourist places (include religious place, heritage site, beach etc.), parks and gardens within the ULB's jurisdiction. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations. Additionally, citizen validation Interviews will also be conducted.

Citizen Validation

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY					
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)		
✓	✓	✓	✓	√		

Are all the commercial areas, public areas, transport hubs, tourist places (include religious place, heritage site, beach etc.), parks and gardens have sufficient twin litter bins (fixed installation) in place for citizen convenience with proper signages? Are all the residential ares, commercial areas, public areas, transport hubs, tourist places (include religious place, heritage site, beach etc.), parks and gardens free from any

 $\textit{Marks Scored} = [\frac{\textit{Total Direct Observation Samples Passed}}{\textit{Total Samples Assessed}}] \ x \ \textit{Maximum Marks for the indicator}$

IMPORTANT POINTS

open large bin (>100 litres capacity)?

- 1. Areas should not have any large bins (>100 litres)
- 2. Frequency of Emptying Litter Bins in ULB Area (For V. Small, Small 2 times a day, rest 3 times a day) in public, commercial area
- 3. No litter bin should be overflowing at any point of time

INDICATOR 1.5 - CTU Transformation

Are the identified cleanliness target units (CTUs) free from garbage hotspots/unattended garbage pile?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB ensures that the Cleanliness Target Units (CTUs) identified and improved throughout the year remain free from garbage hotspots and unattended waste, maintaining a clean and hygienic environment. Additionally, it evaluates whether there are any garbage vulnerable points in areas apart from the CTUs within the ULB's jurisdiction.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation will involve visiting CTUs within the ULB, capturing photographs/videos. Additionally, all wards will be assessed for Garbage Vulnerable Points (GVPs) beyond the CTUs and conducting citizen interviews to ensure comprehensive cleanliness.

Citizen Validation

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

		APPLICABILITY	,	
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)
✓	√	✓	✓	✓

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 50+50 = 150
Percentage of the cleanliness target units (CTUs) identified and transformed throughout the year free from garbage hotpots/unattended garbage pile?	100 (A+B)
Percentage of Low/Medium intensity CTU identified and transformed	50 (A)
Percentage of High intensity CTU identified and transformed	50 (B)
Percentage of the areas free from garbage vulnerable points/ locations with unattended garbage pile?	50

IMPORTANT POINTS

1. ULB needs to update list of cleanliness target units (CTU) identified and transformed in Swachhatam Portal.

INDICATOR 1.6 - Red Spots

Are the residential ares, commercial areas, public areas, transport hubs, tourist places (include religious place, heritage site, beach etc.), parks and gardens free from Red Spots?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to ensure all areas are free from red spots caused by spitting pan or gutka, maintaining clean and stain-free walls and building corners in commercial areas, public areas, transport hubs, tourist places (include religious place, heritage site, beach etc.), parks and gardens to maintain swachhata.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the residential area, commercial areas, public areas, transport hubs, tourist places (include religious place, heritage site, beach etc.), parks and gardens within the jurisdiction, capturing videos and photographs as per the sample size mentioned for the category of the ULB.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
✓					

SCHEME OF MARKING MAX MARKS: 75

 $\textit{Marks Scored} = [\frac{\textit{Total Direct Observation Samples Passed}}{\textit{Total Samples Assessed}}] \times \textit{Maximum Marks for the indicator}$

- 1. ULB needs to update list of residential ares, commercial areas, public areas, transport hubs, tourist places (include religious place, heritage site, beach etc.), parks and gardens in Swachhatam Portal.
- 2. Key areas to be assessed: Entry/Exist of Transport Hubs, Public Toilets, Parks, Gardens, vending zones.

INDICATOR 1.7 - Yellow Spots

Are the areas, particularly those prone to public urination, free from yellow spots?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to ensure the areas, especially those prone to public urination, remain free from yellow spots, promoting cleanliness and hygiene within its jurisdiction to maintain swachhata.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the residential area, commercial areas, public areas and other focused areas within the jurisdiction, capturing videos and photographs as per the sample size mentioned for the category of the ULB.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY				
Very SmallSmallMediumBigMillion Plus(< 20k Population)(20k - 50k Population)(50k - 3 Lakh Population)(3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)(> 10 Lakh population)				
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

SCHEME OF MARKING

MAX MARKS:

75

 $\textit{Marks Scored} = [\frac{\textit{Total Direct Observation Samples Passed}}{\textit{Total Samples Assessed}}] \ x \ \textit{Maximum Marks for the indicator}$

- 1. Focused on areas prone to such behaviour, like near public toilets, urinals, railway lines, and bus stands.
- 2.ULB needs to update list of residential areas, commercial areas, public areas, transport hubs, tourist places (include religious place, heritage site, beach etc.), parks and gardens in Swachhatam Portal.
- 3.Key areas to be assessed: Entry/Exist of Transport Hubs, Public Toilets, Parks, Gardens, vending zones.

INDICATOR 1.8 - Storm Water Drains / Nallahs

Are stormwater drains/nallahs well-maintained, free from debris and solid waste, and have an established cleaning schedule?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to ensure that the stormwater drains/nallahs are clean, well-maintained, free from obstructions and solid waste, with intact boundary walls, screens/filters, and a proper cleaning schedule within its jurisdiction

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the stormwater drains/nallahs within the ULB's jurisdiction. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY			
Very Small Small Medium Big Million Plus (< 20k Population) (50k - 3 Lakh Population) population) (> 10 Lakh population)				
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 100		
Do the stormwater drains/nallahs in the area have sufficient screens or filters in place?	25		
Are the stormwater drains/nallahs free from floating solid waste?	25		
Are the drains free from debris, silt, or waste that may obstruct the flow?	20		
Is there a schedule available for cleaning the drains/nallahs?	15		
Is machinery available for cleaning the drains/nallahs?	15		
$Marks\ Scored = [rac{Total\ Direct\ Observation\ Samples\ Passed}{Total\ Samples\ Assessed}]\ x\ Maximum\ Marks\ for\ the\ indicator$			

- 1. ULB needs to update list of Storm Water Drains / Nallahs in Swachhatam Portal.
- 2.Assessor will check every inlet points for availability of screens/filters in SWD.

INDICATOR 1.9 - Water Bodies

Are water bodies clean, free from pollutants and solid waste, with maintained surroundings, anti-littering measures, and beautified for public use?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to ensure that the water bodies are clean, pollutant-free, well-maintained, equipped with litter bins and anti-littering measures, with at least one water body aesthetically enhanced for public use within its jurisdiction

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the Water Bodies within the ULB's jurisdiction. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
✓	✓	√	✓	✓	

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 150
Are the water bodies free from solid waste, water weeds, or any other pollutants?	40
Is the area around all water bodies clean, well-maintained, and free from any open garbage sites or dumps within a 500-meter radius?	40
Do the water bodies have twin litter bins in place to eliminate the accumulation of garbage/waste in or around them, along with anti-littering messages/signage?	40
Is at least one water body aesthetically pleasing, with the surrounding area beautified to include pavements for public use, trees, and benches?	30
$Marks$ $Scored = [\frac{Total\ Direct\ Observation\ Samples\ Passed}{Total\ Samples\ Assessed}]\ x\ Maximum\ Marks\ for\ the\ in$	ndicator

IMPORTANT POINTS

1. ULB needs to update list of Water Bodies in Swachhatam Portal.

INDICATOR 1.10 - Aesthetics and Beautifications

Are steps being taken by the ULB to improve aesthetics, beautification, and urban air quality?

OBJECTIVE

The objective is to assess ULB efforts in creating a clean, beautiful, and sustainable environment by enhancing aesthetics through murals, waste-to-art projects, and removing banners and posters, while also improving urban air quality with pothole-free roads, covered construction sites, and tree planting.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the public/commercial areas, roads and footpaths, and high footfall areas, wthin the ULB's jurisdiction. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Citizen Validation

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY					
Very Small (< 20k Population)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
\otimes	✓	✓	✓	✓	

SCHEME OF MARKING	MARKS:
[A] Efforts to Create a Clean and Beautiful Environment	
Have new paintings (terracotta, graffiti, abstract) or murals been created in major commercial, high footfall areas, or tourist places (include religious place, heritage site, beach etc.) around Swachh Survekshan 2025 since the last Swachh Survekshan?	30
Have ULBs developed a 'waste to wonder' park and/or installed 'waste to art' sculptures?	30
Are all public/commercial areas free from hanging banners?	30
Are all public walls free from posters/bills?	30
Common Signages across the city	20
[B] Efforts for Urban Air Quality Enhancement (for Big and Million Plus Cities)	
Are all roads and footpaths free from potholes and broken paver blocks?	30
Neat & Clean Green Zone (Plant trees on all road dividers, and on roadsides where dividers are not present)	30

IMPORTANT POINTS

1. For Very small, small and medium cities, the marks of Section [B] Efforts for Urban Air Quality Enhancement (for Big and Million Plus Cities) will be distributed proportionally into section [A] Efforts to Create a Clean and Beautiful Environment.

INDICATOR 1.11 - Cleanliness in Slum

Whether the slums in the ULB have covered and clean drains and maintain overall cleanliness?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to ensure slums have covered, clean drains with zero wastewater discharge, are free from open defecation, garbage vulnerable points, and stagnant water within it's jurisdiction

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the Slums within the ULB's jurisdiction.

Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations. Additionally, citizen validation Interviews will also be conducted.

Citizen Validation

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY					
Very Small (< 20k Population)	, and the second se				
\otimes	\otimes	✓	✓	✓	

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 150
Are the drains in slums covered and clean, with zero discharge of wastewater/faecal sludge in open drains?	50
Are the areas free from Garbage Vulnerable Points (heaps/piles of unattended garbage) and completely free from instances of open defecation?	50
Are slums free from areas with stagnant water and instances of waterlogging?	50
Total Citizen Validation samples Passed+Total Direct Observation Samples Passed	

 $\textit{Marks Scored} = [\frac{\textit{Total Citizen Validation samples Passed} + \textit{Total Samples Assessed}}{\textit{Total Samples Assessed}}] \ x \ \textit{Maximum Marks for the indicator}$

- 1. ULB needs to update list of Slums in Swachhatam Portal
- 2.All CTs in the slum area to be covered by the assessor.

INDICATOR 1.12 - Cleanliness in Schools

Are school premises free from litter and visibly clean?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to maintain school premises free from litter and ensure they are visibly clean. The objective is also to inculcate the habit of maintaining Swachhata among the students from their younger days to bring in a generational change in the country.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the schools (public/ private/ Government/ Municipal Schools) within the ULB's jurisdiction. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	·				
✓	✓	√	✓	✓	

SCHEME OF MARKING MARKS: 100

 $Marks\ Scored = [\frac{Total\ Direct\ Observation\ Samples\ Passed}{Total\ Samples\ Assessed}]\ x\ Maximum\ Marks\ for\ the\ indicator$

- 1. ULB needs to update list of Schools on Swachhatam Portal.
- 2.At least 80% Government Schools to be assessed in this indicator

SECTION 2: SEGREGATION, COLLECTION & TRANSPORTATION

1,000 MARKS, 10%

No.	Indicator Description	Marks
2.1	Percentage of wards with 100% Door to Door Collection	500
2.2	Source segregation and Segregated Transportation of Waste	400
2.3	Percentage of Operations and Maintenance Cost covered by User Charges	100
	TOTAL	1,000

INDICATOR 2.1 – Door to Door Collection

Is the ULB ensuring 100% door-to-door waste collection, adequate vehicles for transfer and processing, and sufficient collection trips to meet requirements?

OBJECTIVE

The objective is to assess the ULB's efficiency in ensuring 100% door-to-door waste collection across all areas, adequacy of collection vehicles, and optimal trips to transfer stations and processing facilities.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out through desktop assessment and by visiting the residential areas, commercial areas, public areas and slums within the ULB's jurisdiction. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations and during citizen validation.

Citizen Validation

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)	
√	✓	✓	✓	✓	

Are 100% of households, shops and other institutional entities covered with door-to-door collection of waste?	200
Are the collection vehicles adequate for waste transportation up to the transfer station?	100
Are the vehicles adequate for transporting waste to the respective processing facilities?	100
Do the number of trips for waste collection match the required frequency?	100

 $\textit{Marks Scored} = [\frac{\textit{Total Citizen Validation samples Passed}}{\textit{Total Samples Assessed}}] \ x \ \textit{Maximum Marks for the indicator}$

IMPORTANT POINTS

1. No. of vehicles required as per the Population of the ULB to be checked during DA

INDICATOR 2.2 – Segregation of Waste

Is waste segregation at source, separate collection, and segregated transportation to transfer stations and processing facilities effectively implemented?

OBJECTIVE

The objective is to assess the ULB's effectiveness in ensuring waste segregation at the source, separate collection of segregated waste, and its transportation to transfer stations and processing facilities.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the residential areas, transfer stations and processing facilities within the ULB's jurisdiction. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations. Additionally, citizen validation Interviews will also be conducted.

Citizen Validation

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)	
✓	✓	√	✓	√	

Is waste segregated at source in households into Dry and Wet categories?	
	200
Is segregated waste (dry, wet, and DHW/sanitary waste) collected separately from households, ensuring that each type of waste is handled and transported individually?	100
Is segregated waste transported to transfer stations and processing facilities without being mixed with other waste?	100

 $\textit{Marks Scored} = [\frac{\textit{Total Citizen Validation samples Passed} + \textit{Total Samples Passed}}{\textit{Total Samples Assessed}}] \ \textit{x Maximum Marks for the indicator}$

- 1. Ward wise timing of waste collection vehicle to be verified on field.
- 2.Pictures/Video of collection vehicle (from inside), transfer station(entry, tipping point), processing facilities will be checked by the agency to verify whether segregated waste is being collected as claimed by the ULB

<u>INDICATOR 2.3 – Cost Recovery</u>

Is the percentage of O&M costs for collection and transportation adequately covered by user charges?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB has effectively implemented a system where a significant percentage of the operation and maintenance (O&M) costs for waste collection and transportation are covered through user charges, ensuring financial sustainability and cost recovery in alignment with waste management guidelines.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out through desktop assessment by assessing the documents provided against this indicator by the ULB with sign and stamp of the Nodal Officer.

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)	
1	✓	√	✓	√	

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 100
At least 60% of operational cost (collection and transportation)	100
At least 50% of operational cost (collection and transportation)	80
At least 40% of operational cost (collection and transportation)	60
At least 30% of operational cost (collection and transportation)	40
<30% of operational cost (collection and transportation)	0

IMPORTANT POINTS

1.

SECTION 3: SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

1,500 MARKS, 15%

No.	Indicator Summary	Marks
3.1	Functional Wet waste processing capacity of the ULB	100
3.2	Percentage of Wet waste processed vs generated & sale of finished Products	100
3.3	Functional Dry waste processing capacity of the ULB	100
3.4	Percentage of Dry waste processed vs generated & utilization of forward linkages for recyclables and non-recyclable waste	100
3.5	Percentage of total domestic hazardous waste and sanitary waste processed vs generated	100
3.6	Collection, processing and disposal/reuse of C&D waste	200
3.7	Waste Processing by Bulk Waste Generators	150
3.8	Waste Management in Schools	150
3.9	Functional and Effective operation of RRR Centers	100
3.10	Status and Functionality of Sanitary Landfill	150
3.11	Remediation of Dumpsites	250
	TOTAL	1,500

<u>INDICATOR 3.1 – Functional Wet Waste</u> <u>Processing Capacity</u>

Does the ULB have adequate functional wet waste processing capacity compared to the total wet waste generated?

OBJECTIVE

The objective is to assess whether the ULB has adequate functional processing capacity to manage the wet waste generated.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the wet waste processing facilities where city waste is being processed. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)	
√	√	√	✓	√	

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 100
Processing capacity of functional wet waste processing facilities	
$Marks\ Scored = \left[rac{Capacity\ of\ all\ functional\ wet\ waste\ processing\ facilities\ assessed\ during\ field\ visit}{Total\ wet\ waste\ generation\ in\ the\ city} ight] $	x Maximum Marks for the indicator

INDICATOR 3.2 – Wet Waste Processing

What percentage of wet waste is the ULB processing compared to total wet waste generated and how are the finished product utilized?

OBJECTIVE

The objective is to evaluate the percentage of wet waste processed and the sale of finished products derived from it on a monthly basis.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the wet waste processing facilities where the segregated waste from different areas of the city is being processed. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations as per the questionnaire.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)	
✓	√	✓	✓	√	

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS:100	
Processing of wet waste	75	
Marks Scored = [Wet waste being processed at all functional wet waste processing facilities assessed during field visit] x Maximum Marks for the indicator		
Monthly Sale of finished products	25	
$\textit{Marks Scored} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\text{Finished product utilized at all functional wet waste processing facilties assessed during field visit}}{\text{Total Finished product generated after processing of wet waste}} \end{bmatrix} \textbf{x} \textit{Maximum Marks for the indicator}$		

<u>INDICATOR 3.3 – Functional Dry Waste</u> <u>Processing Capacity</u>

Does the ULB have adequate functional dry waste processing capacity compared to the total dry waste generated?

OBJECTIVE

The objective is to assess whether the ULB has adequate functional processing capacity to manage the dry waste generated.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the dry waste processing facilities where city waste is being processed. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)
√	✓	✓	✓	√

SCHEME OF MARKING MAX MARKS: 100

Processing capacity of functional dry waste processing facilities

 $\textit{Marks Scored} = \left[\frac{\textit{Capacity of all functional dry waste processing facilities assessed during field visit}}{\textit{Total dry waste generation in the city}} \right] \textit{x Maximum Marks for the indicator}$

INDICATOR 3.4 - Dry Waste Processing

What percentage of dry waste is the ULB processing compared to total dry waste generated and how are the finished product utilized?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess if the ULB effectively processes dry waste through MRF/RDF, or Waste to Energy plants. Additionally, this indicator evaluates the ULB's role in utilizing processed waste to support a circular economy.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the dry waste processing facilities where city waste is being processed. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 100
Processing of dry waste	75
$\textit{Marks Scored} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\textit{Dry waste being processed at all functional dry waste processing facilities assessed during field \textit{visit}}{\textit{Total dry waste generation in the city}} \end{bmatrix} \times \textit{Moreover the processing facilities assessed during field visit} \end{bmatrix} \times \textit{Moreover the processing facilities assessed during field visit} \end{bmatrix} \times \textit{Moreover the processing facilities assessed during field visit} \end{bmatrix} \times \textit{Moreover the processing facilities assessed during field visit} \end{bmatrix} \times \textit{Moreover the processing facilities assessed during field visit} \end{bmatrix} \times \textit{Moreover the processing facilities assessed during field visit} \end{bmatrix} \times \textit{Moreover the processing facilities assessed during field visit} \end{bmatrix} \times \textit{Moreover the processing facilities assessed during field visit} \end{bmatrix} \times \textit{Moreover the processing facilities assessed during field visit} \end{bmatrix} \times \textit{Moreover the processing facilities assessed during field visit} \end{bmatrix} \times \textit{Moreover the processing facilities assessed during field visit} \end{bmatrix} \times \textit{Moreover the processing facilities assessed during field visit} \end{bmatrix} \times \textit{Moreover the processing facilities assessed during field visit} \end{bmatrix} \times Moreover the processing facilities assessed during facilities $	aximum Marks for the indicator
Utilization of processed recyclable waste and non-recyclable waste	25
$Marks \ Scored = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{Recyclables\ and\ non-recyclables\ utilized\ at\ all\ functional\ dry\ waste\ processing\ facilities\ assessed\ during\ field\ videoff and\ non-recyclables\ generated\ after\ processing\ of\ dry\ waste} \\ Total\ recyclables\ and\ non-recyclables\ generated\ after\ processing\ of\ dry\ waste}$	sit x Maximum Marks for the indicator

IMPORTANT POINTS

1. Recyclables sold to be documented in terms of revenue generated and details of buyers for validation. Non-recyclables sent to the cement factory will also be considered under processing

INDICATOR 3.5 – DHW & Sanitary Waste Processing

What percentage of total sanitary and domestic hazardous waste is treated by the ULB or a third party managing biomedical waste?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB has effectively treated the total sanitary and domestic hazardous waste (including menstrual waste, baby/adult diapers, and others) generated within its jurisdiction, either through in-house treatment or by partnering with third parties managing biomedical waste, in accordance with sanitation and waste management guidelines.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the waste processing facilities where city waste is being processed. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)
1	✓	✓	✓	✓

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 100
Processing of DHW/Sanitary waste	
$ extit{Marks Scored} = [rac{ extit{DHW/Sanitary waste being processed at all functional processing facilities assessed during field violated}{ extit{Total DHW/Sanitary wastegeneration in the city}}$	sit] x Maximum Marks for the indicator

INDICATOR 3.6 - C & D Waste

Does the ULB have systems in place for the collection, processing, and disposal/reuse of Construction & Demolition (C&D) waste?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess mobile collection unit availability for on-call C&D waste services, evaluate geotagged C&D waste collection points' accessibility, verify notification of charges for C&D waste management services, and ensure cities process or designate areas for C&D waste reuse.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out through desktop assessment and by visiting the processing facilities where city waste is being processed. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)
{Only segment [D] is	{Only segment [D] is	√	✓	√
applicable with max marks 200 }	applicable with max marks 200 }			

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 200
[A] Does the ULB offer a mobile, on-call collection service for Construction and Demolition (C&D) waste generated by citizens?	30
[B] Does the ULB provide designated, geo-tagged C&D waste collection for generators? (Points to be geo-tagged on Swachhatam Portal)	30
[C] Has the ULB notified a publicly accessible schedule of charges for the collection, transportation, processing, and disposal of C&D waste, including any charges incorporated into construction permits? (Complaint no. on MIS/ULB's website)	30
[D] Does the ULB process and sell C&D waste from bulk and non-bulk generators?	100
[E] Are all construction areas (buildings) covered to avoid the dispersion of particulate matter?	10

 $\textit{Marks Scored} = [\frac{\text{C\&D waste being processed at all functional processing facilities assessed during field visit}}{\textit{Total C\&D waste generation in the city}}] \ \textit{x Maximum Marks for the indicator}$

IMPORTANT POINTS

- 1. For Cities >5 Lakh population, Processing and selling of C&D waste collected from non-bulk and bulk generators.
- 2. For Cities <5 Lakh population, Designated place should be available for C&D waste to collect and utilize it further by filling low-lying areas or reuse purposes
- 3. For Point A, Agency to verify on-call collection service, complaint number

35

INDICATOR 3.7 – Bulk Waste Generators

Does the ULB ensure that Bulk Waste Generators segregate, process their waste appropriately (including through third-party vendors)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB has implemented effective waste processing practices at Bulk Waste Generators (BWGs) by ensuring proper segregation of waste, empanelment of all third-party vendors involved in waste processing of waste generated by BWGs, adoption of suitable waste processing models, and optimal utilization of processed waste in accordance with waste management guidelines.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the bulk waste generators within the ULB's jurisdiction. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)
\otimes	\otimes	√	✓	✓

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 150
Waste segregation being practiced at all the BWGs	40
Empanelment of third-party vendors involved in waste processing with BWGs	30
Processing of waste either on-site or through private third-party vendors	60
Utilization of processed waste by the BWGs	20
	•

 $\textit{Marks Scored} = [\frac{\textit{Total Direct Observation Samples Passed}}{\textit{Total Samples Assessed}}] \ x \ \textit{Maximum Marks for the indicator}$

IMPORTANT POINTS

1. Third party vendors list to be uploaded on Swachhatam Portal

<u>INDICATOR 3.8 – Waste management in schools</u>

Does the school separate wet and dry waste, use designated bins for each, have separate menstrual waste bins, and compost wet waste?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the school has effectively implemented waste segregation practices by ensuring separate bins for wet and dry waste in all relevant areas, providing appropriate disposal solutions for menstrual waste, and composting biodegradable waste, in accordance with sanitation and waste management guidelines.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the schools (public/private/Government/Municipal Schools) within the ULB's jurisdiction. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY APPLICABILITY					
Very Small (< 20k Population)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
\otimes	\otimes	✓	✓	√		

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 40+35+35+40 = 150
Does the school segregate wet waste (bio-degradable waste) and dry waste (non-biodegradable waste) before final collection by municipality?	40
Does the school provide litter bins in each classroom, kitchen area, and at other appropriate locations for collection of waste?	35
Does the school have separate dustbins with lid and with specific colours for disposal of menstrual waste in the toilets?	35
Does the school compost its own biodegradable waste (wet waste)?	30
$Marks$ $Scored = [\frac{Total\ Direct\ Observation\ Samples\ Passed}{Total\ Samples\ Assessed}]$ x $Maximum\ Marks\ for\ total\ Samples\ Assessed$	he indicator

IMPORTANT POINTS

- 1. ULB needs to update list of all schools (government, private, ULB run) within it's jurisdiction on Swachhatam Portal.
- 2.At least 80% Government Schools to be assessed in this indicator

INDICATOR 3.9 – RRR Centre

Does the RRR center have a regular system for collecting items, a process for sorting those items, and established methods for disposing, reusing, recycling, or repairing the collected items?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB has established an effective RRR center by ensuring regular collection of items, implementing a segregation mechanism for the collected items, and creating forward linkages for the disposal, reuse, recycling, or repair of those items in accordance with waste management and sustainability guidelines.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out through desktop assessment and by visiting the RRR center within the ULBs jurisdiction. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY					
Very Small	Small	Medium	Big	Million Plus	
(< 20k Population)	(20k - 50k Population)	(50k - 3 Lakh Population)	(3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	(> 10 Lakh population)	
\otimes	\otimes	✓	✓	✓	

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 40+30+30 = 100
Campaign by ULB for collection of items for RRR centre involving large public participation	50
Does the RRR centre have forward linkages established for disposal, reuse, recycling, repair of items collected ?	50
M arks Scored = [$rac{Total\ Direct\ Observation\ Samples\ Passed}{Total\ Samples\ Assessed}$] x M aximum M arks for the samples A	he indicator

IMPORTANT POINTS

1. ULBs to submit details on Swachhatam Portal for the campaign related to RRR centre.

INDICATOR 3.10 - Landfill

What is the status of Sanitary Landfill and What percentage of total waste is sent to the sanitary landfill, if in use?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB has identified land, called tenders, and constructed or is in the process of constructing a scientific sanitary landfill, and whether the percentage of total waste, including process rejects, is being sent to the landfill as per guidelines.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the landfill sites within the ULB's jurisdiction. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)
\otimes	\otimes	√	✓	✓

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 100+50 = 150
Status of Scientific Sanitary Landfill:	
Stage 1. Land Identified for Sanitary Landfill	20
Stage 2. Tenders called for construction of sanitary landfill site	40
Stage 3. Work order for construction of Sanitary Landfill is awarded	60
Stage 4. Sanitary landfill under construction	80
Stage 5. Sanitary landfill available and being used	100
Percent (%) of total waste generated (process rejects/unprocessed) going to t if Sanitary Landfill is available and in use)	he sanitary landfill (Only
Not more than 10%	50
Not more than 15%	40
Not more than 25%	30
Not more than 45%	20
>40%	0

INDICATOR 3.11 – Remediation of Dumpsites

What is the remediation status of all identified legacy dumpsites?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB has successfully initiated and completed the remediation of all identified dumpsites.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting remediation sites within the ULB's jurisdiction. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)	
✓	✓	√	✓	✓	

SCHEME OF MARKING

MAX MARKS: 250

Remediation of legacy waste at dumpsites

 $\textit{Marks Scored} = [\frac{\textit{Total legacy waste remediated across all the identified dumpsites}}{\textit{Total legacy waste across all the identified dumpsites}}] \ x \ \textit{Maximum Marks for the indicator}$

IMPORTANT POINTS

- 1. Cities which does not have dumpsites, Marks will be distributed proportionally across the section.
- If the ULB has remediated the dumpsites already, then the ULB needs to mention the total waste remediated, year of remediation completion and duration of the entire activity.

SECTION 4: ACCESS TO SANITATION

1,000 MARKS, 10%

No.	Indicator Description	Marks
4.1	Measures taken by ULB for prevention of Open Defecation and Open Urination	100
4.2	Functional, well maintained and well equiped Public Toilets	300
4.3	Functional, well maintained and well equiped Community Toilets	300
4.4	Functional, well maintained and well equiped Urinals	150
4.5	Well maintained toilets in educational institutions	150
	TOTAL	1,000

INDICATOR 4.1— Prevention of open defecation and open urination

What actions has the ULB taken to prevent open defecation and open urination?

OBJECTIVE

To assess whether the ULB has ensured sustained elimination of open defecation and open defecation, especially in slum areas, identified OD hot spots and commercial/public area through provision of adequate toilet infrastructure, regular functionality and maintenance, targeted IEC/BCC, and enforcement measures.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out through direct observation, desktop assessment and citizen validation by assessing the documents along with photographic evidences provided against this indicator by the ULB with sign and stamp of the Nodal Officer.

Citizen Validation Direct Observation Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY					
Very Small (< 20k Population)	, I					
\otimes	\otimes	√	✓	✓		

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX. MARKS: 100	
Measures taken by ULBs for prevention of open defecation and open urination:		
Are adequate, accessible toilets available to all residents of slums, identified OD/OU hot spots and commercial areas.	25	
Implementation of at least 4 focused BCC activities per year in each high-risk settlement such as slums, OD hot spots and commercial areas (e.g., nukkad nataks, community meetings, SHG/youth group engagement, school rallies) with pictorial evidences	25	
Standing orders/byelaws notified for penalties on open defecation and open urination; records of at least some enforcement in past 12 months in or near hot spots; warnings and counseling documented as first-line response.	25	
Citizen feedback in sampled slums/hot spots and commercial/public area confirms "no open defecation/no open urination"	25	

INDICATOR 4.2- Public Toilets

Are public toilets fully equipped with basic facilities, and are well maintained?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB ensures that public toilets are equipped with essential facilities, proper waste management, and maintenance systems, while also providing complaint mechanisms, and ensuring accessibility for all users, in alignment with sanitation and hygiene standards.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the public toilets within the ULB's jurisdiction. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)
✓	✓	•	•	IoT based Feedback & monitoring mechanism + water and energy efficient systems in place.

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 300
[A] Comprehensive Cleanliness & Functionality of Toilets	
Continuous supply of running water in the tap	10
Adequate ventilation facility in the toilet	10
Functional bolting & locking arrangement	10
All toilet seats are clean, usable , odour free with functional flushing mechanisms	10
Litter bins available for disposing	10
Wash basins are clean and usable at all times with functional taps	10
Premises are well-lit at all times, both within and outside	10
Availability of soap/operational soap dispenser & air freshener	10
[B] Proper disposal of untreated faecal sludge and sewage from the toilet	20
[C] Complaint/Grievance registration mechanism available at the toilet	20
[D] Availability of Caretaker with name & contact details of supervisor displayed	20
[E] Availability of sanitary pads (dispenser only) and separate collection bin for used pads	20
[F] O&M mechanism in place for cleaning	20
[G] Premises are visible to passers by, with clear signage and toilets are mapped and visible on google maps	20
[H] Dedicated toilet seats for differently abled/trans-gendered/ children (low height toilets)	20
[I] Staff is provided with necessary supplies of consumables, cleaning equipment & PPE	20
[J] Roster being maintained for regular cleaning and maintenance.	20
[K] Water & energy efficient toilet (water reuse for flushing purposes, water efficient fixtures, use of solar panels for electricity.) (Only for Million plus cities)	20
[L] IoT based Feedback Mechanism regarding cleanliness & Hygiene of Toilets (Only for Million plus cities)	20
M arks Scored = [$rac{Total\ Direct\ Observation\ Samples\ Passed}{Total\ Samples\ Assessed}$] $x\ M$ aximum Marks for the indic	eator

Note: 40 marks of indicator [K] and [L] will be distributed among between [A] to [J] indicators for very small, small, medium and big cities.

INDICATOR 4.3–Community Toilets

Are community toilets fully equipped with basic facilities, waste management, caretaker, complaint system, sanitary pads, and maintenance?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB ensures that community toilets are equipped with essential facilities, proper waste management, and maintenance systems, while also providing complaint mechanisms, and ensuring accessibility for all users, in alignment with sanitation and hygiene standards.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the community toilets within the ULB's jurisdiction. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)
			✓	✓
✓	~	•	IoT based Feedback & Monitoring Mechanism	IoT based Feedback & monitoring mechanism + wate and energy efficient systems i place.

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 300
[A] Comprehensive Cleanliness & Functionality of Toilets	
Availability of continuous supply of running water in the tap available at the toilet cubicle	10
Adequate ventilation facility across the toilet facility to maintain the airflow	10
All doors in good condition with functional bolting /locking arrangement	10
All toilet seats are clean, usable , odour free with functional flushing mechanisms at all times	10
Litter bins available for disposing	10
Wash basin are clean and usable at all times with functional taps	10
Premises are well-lit at all times, both within and outside	10
Availability of soap/operational soap dispenser & air freshener	10
[B] Untreated faecal sludge and sewage from the toilet is not discharged or dumped in drains, open areas	20
[C] Complaint registration mechanism available	20
[D] Caretaker available at all times the toilet is open along with name and contact details of supervisor displayed on toilet block	20
[E] Availability of sanitary pads (packet or dispenser) and separate collection bin for used pads	20
[F] Operations & Maintenance mechanism in place for cleaning and collection of user charges	20
[G] Premises are visible to passers by, with clear signage and All SBM toilets should be mapped and visible on google maps	20
[H] Dedicated toilet seats for differently abled/transgendered/ children (low height toilets)	20
[I] Staff is provided with necessary supplies of consumables, cleaning equipment & PPE	20
[J] Roster being maintained for regular cleaning and maintenance	20
[K] Water & energy efficient toilet (water reuse for flushing purposes, water efficient fixtures, use of solar panels for electricity.) (Only for Million plus cities)	20
[L] IoT based Feedback Mechanism regarding cleanliness & Hygiene of Toilets (Only for Million plus cities)	20
$\textit{Marks Scored} = \left[\frac{\textit{Total Direct Observation Samples Passed}}{\textit{Total Samples Assessed}}\right] \textit{x Maximum Marks for the indicator}$	

Note: 40 marks of indicator [K] and [L] will be distributed among between [A] to [J] indicators for very small, small, medium and big cities.

INDICATOR 4.4-Urinals

Are urinals clean, functional, free of waste dumping, with clear signage and other required system in place?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB ensures that urinals are clean, functional, odour-free, and that untreated waste is not discharged into drains, while also ensuring visibility with signage, proper mapping on Google Maps and other details in alignment with sanitation and cleanliness standards

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the urinals within the ULB's jurisdiction. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY				
Very SmallSmallMediumBigMillion Plus(< 20k Population)(20k - 50k Population)(50k - 3 Lakh Population)(3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)(> 10 Lakh population)				
✓	~	✓	✓	V

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX. MARKS: 150
All urinals are clean, usable, odour free with functional flushing mechanisms at all times, Availability of continuous supply of running water in the tap	50
Untreated sewage from the urinals is not discharged or dumped in drains, open areas	30
Premises are visible to passers by, with clear signage	20
All SBM urinals should be mapped and visible on google maps	30
Name and contact details of supervisor displayed on urinals	20
$Marks Scored = [\frac{Total \ Direct \ Observation \ Samples \ Passed}{Total \ Samples \ Assessed}] \ x \ Maximum \ Marks$	for the indicator

INDICATOR 4.5-Toilet in schools

Are separate toilets for boys and girls in working condition, with roofs, proper ventilation, secure doors, and a safe disposal mechanism for toilet waste/faecal sludge at the school?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB has ensured that schools provide separate, functional toilets for boys and girls, equipped with roofs, proper ventilation, and other required facilities promoting hygiene, safety, and public health in alignment with sanitation standards.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the schools (public/private/Government/Municipal Schools) within the ULB's jurisdiction. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	·				
*	✓	✓	✓	✓	

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 150
Does the school have separate toilets for boys and girls in working condition?	50
Do all the toilets in the school have roof and proper ventilation for natural light and air and have secure door with latch? with functional flushing mechanisms at all times, Availability of continuous supply of running water in the tap	50
Does the school follow safe mechanism for disposal of toilet waste / faecal sludge ?	50
$Marks$ $Scored = [\frac{Total\ Direct\ Observation\ Samples\ Passed}{Total\ Samples\ Assessed}]$ X $Maximum\ Marks\ for\ the part of the p$	e indicator

IMPORTANT POINTS

- 1. ULB needs to update list of Schools on Swachhatam Portal.
- 2.At least 80% Government Schools to be assessed in this indicator

SECTION 5: USED WATER MANAGEMENT

1,000 MARKS, 10%

No.	Indicator	Marks
5.1	Connectivity to a closed system	150
5.2	Sewage/Faecal Sludge Transportation	`300
5.3	Scientific processing of faecal sludge and sewage (Capacity)	200
5.4	Scientific processing of faecal sludge and sewage (Treatment)	250
5.5	Scientific processing of faecal sludge and sewage (Reuse/Recycle)	100
	TOTAL	1,000

INDICATOR 5.1 - Connectivity to a closed system

Is the usedwater generated within the ULB's jurisdiction connected to a closed system for proper collection, transportation, and treatment?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB ensures that waste generated within its jurisdiction is effectively connected to a closed system for collection, transportation, and treatment, thereby preventing open dumping and maintaining hygiene standards.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the residential areas, commercial areas, public areas, toilets, urinals within the ULB's jurisdiction. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Additionally, citizen validation Interviews will also be conducted.

Citizen Validation

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
1	√	✓	✓	√	

SCHEME OF MARKING MAX MARKS: 150

What percentage of Households, Commercial Institutions, Establishments and Public area CTs/PTs are connected to a closed system such as sewerage, septic tank + soak pit, twin-pit system etc. (no open system/connection/flow/discharge) (graded approach)

 $\textit{Marks Scored} = [\frac{\textit{Total Citizen Validation samples Passed+Total Direct Observation Samples Passed}}{\textit{Total Samples Assessed}}] \ x \ \textit{Maximum Marks for the indicator}$

INDICATOR 5.2 - Sewage/Fecal Sludge Transportation

Is the sewer system coverage adequate to ensure all areas within the ULB's jurisdiction are connected to the system for proper wastewater management?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB is efficiently and regularly transporting sewage and fecal sludge from collection points to designated treatment plants, ensuring proper sanitation and preventing contamination within its jurisdiction.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out through desktop assessment by assessing the documents along with photographic evidences provided against this indicator by the ULB with sign and stamp of the Nodal Officer.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY				
Very SmallSmallMediumBigMillion Plus(< 20k Population)(20k - 50k Population)(50k - 3 Lakh Population)(3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)(> 10 Lakh population)					
√	✓	√	✓	√	

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 300
Is the sewer system coverage adequate to ensure all areas within the ULB's jurisdiction are connected to the system for proper wastewater management?	75
Is routine maintenance and repair of the sewer system being conducted regularly to ensure its proper functioning and prevent blockages or failures within the ULB's jurisdiction?	75
Is the transport for scheduled desludging being provided regularly and adequately to ensure timely and efficient waste removal within the ULB's jurisdiction?	75
Is the interception and diversion (I&D) system adequately covering all areas within the ULB's jurisdiction to prevent untreated wastewater from entering water bodies?	75

INDICATOR 5.3 -Scientific processing capacity of fecal sludge and sewage of the ULB

Whether capacity of FSTP and STP in the city is matching with the total fecal sludge and sewage which is collected/generated in the city?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB is ensuring the adequate capacity of FSTP and STP for scientific processing of fecal sludge and sewage, following appropriate treatment protocols to protect public health and the environment

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the FSTP and STP facilities where city waste is being treated. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY						
Very SmallSmallMediumBigMillion Plus(< 20k Population)(20k - 50k Population)(50k - 3 Lakh Population)(3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)(> 10 Lakh population)						
✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓					

SCHEME OF MARKING MAX MARKS: 200

Percentage of capacity of FSTP and STP in the city is matching with the total fecal sludge and sewage generation

 $\textit{Marks Scored} = \big[\frac{\textit{Sum of Capacity of all functional FSTP/STPs assessed during field visit}}{\textit{Total faecal sludge/sewage generation in the city}}\big] \, x \, \textit{Maximum Marks for the indicator}$

INDICATOR 5.4 -Scientific processing of fecal sludge and sewage

What percentage of fecal sludge and sewage generated from households, commercial establishments, and public or community toilets is being scientifically processed at fecal Sludge Treatment Plants (FSTPs) or Sewage Treatment Plants (STPs)?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB is ensuring the scientific processing of fecal sludge and sewage, following appropriate treatment protocols to protect public health and the environment

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the FSTP and STP facilities where city waste is being treated. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)	
1	✓	√	✓	✓	

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 250
Percentage of total fecal sludge and sewage treated out of total fecal sludge and the city	sewage generated in
At least 70% of total faecal sludge and sewage generated is being treated	250
At least 50% of total faecal sludge and sewage generated is being treated	200
At least 25% of total faecal sludge and sewage generated is being treated	150
<25% of total faecal sludge and sewage generated is being treated	0
$ extit{Marks Scored} = [rac{Faceal\ sludge/sewage\ treated\ by\ all\ functional\ FSTP/STPs\ assessed\ during}{ extit{Total\ faecal\ sludge/sewage\ generation\ in\ the\ city}} extit{Marks\ for\ the\ indicator}$	n field visit] x Maximum

INDICATOR 5.5 - Scientific processing and recycle/reuse of treated used water

What percentage treated used-water is reused, recycled by the ULB?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB is utilizing the treated used-water by recycling or reusing.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the FSTP and STP facilities where city waste is being treated. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY					
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)		
✓	✓	•	✓	Revenue Generation from sale of treated water is mandatory		

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 100
Whether treated wastewater is reused/recycled?	
>20% treated used-water is reused/recycled	100
10% - <20% treated used-water is reused/recycled	70
<10% treated used-water is reused/recycled	40
No treated used-water is reused/recycled	0
$ extit{Marks Scored} = [rac{Total\ treated\ used\ water\ reused/recycled\ by\ all\ functional\ FSTP/STPs\ Total treated\ used\ water generated\ by\ all\ functional\ FSTP/STPs\ Marks\ for\ the\ indicator$	ng field visit] x Maximum

SECTION 6: MECHANIZATION OF DESLUDGING SERVICES 500 MARKS, 5%

No.	Indicator Description	Marks
6.1	Adequate Equipment	260
6.2	Adequate Workforce	90
6.3	Institutional Parameters	150
	TOTAL	500

INDICATOR 6.1-Adequate Equipment

Does the city have an adequate number of equipment available for septic tank/sewer cleaning work?

OBJECTIVE

The objective is to assess whether the city has adequate equipment to carry out septic tank and sewer cleaning operations effectively.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out through desktop assessment by assessing the documents along with photographic evidences provided against this indicator by the ULB with sign and stamp of the Nodal Officer.

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY					
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)	
√	√	√	✓	✓	

Note: Detailed Applicability for each sub-indicator is mentioned in the table in next page.

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 260
Does the city have an adequate number of HydroVac (Jetting and Suction Vehicle for Sewers)	20
Does the city have an adequate number of Machine Hole Dredger	20
Does the city have an adequate number of Gully Emptier- (Septic Tank Desludging Vehicles)	20
Does the city have an adequate number of Sewer Inspection Camera	20
Does the city have an adequate number of Hydro Jetting Machines	20
Does the city have an adequate number of Power Bucket machine	20
Does the city have an adequate number of Hydraulic Sewer Root cutters	20
Does the city have an adequate number of Power Rodding Apparatus	20
Is the city equipped with adequate sets of PPE, including the following 6 items: Reflective	
Jackets, Safety Helmets, Normal Face Masks, Hand Gloves (pair), Safety Gumboots (pair), and	50
Safety Body Clothing?	
Is the city equipped with a complete set of safety gear, including the following 9 items: Safety	
Tripod Set, Nylon Rope Ladder, Blower with Air Compressor, Gas Monitor (for 4 gases), Full	Γ0
Body Wader Suit, Gas Mask, Breathing Apparatus, Safety Body Harness, and Air Line Breathing Apparatus?	50

IMPORTANT POINTS

1. All Machineries to be verified on ground during the assessment

Applicability Criteria for different population and closed connectivity system in the city

Indicator Description	Very Small Cities (<20K Population)	Small Cities (20K - 50K Population)	Medium Cities (50K - 3 Lakh Population)	Big Cities (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh Population)	Million Plus Cities (>10 Lakh Population)
HydroVac (Jetting and Suction Vehicle for Sewers)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)
Machine Hole Dredger	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)
Gully Emptier- (Septic Tank Desludging Vehicles)	(For 100% on-site sanitation ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% on-site sanitation ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% on-site sanitation ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)
Sewer Inspection Camera *	Not Applicable	(For ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	✓ (For ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)
Hydro Jetting Machines *	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)
Power Bucket machine*	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)
Hydraulic Sewer Root cutters*	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)
Power Rodding Apparatus	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)	(For 100% Sewered ,Combined system (sewer + on-site sanitation)
Set of PPE (6 items - Reflecting Jackets, Safety helmets, Normal face masks, Hand gloves (pair), Safety Gumboots (pair), Safety body clothing)	•	(For all categories)	✓ (For all categories)	✓ (For all categories)	✓ (For all categories)
Set of safety gear (9 items - Safety Tripod Set, Nylon Rope ladder, Blower with Air Compressor, Gas Monitor (4 Gases), Full body Wader Suit, Gas Mask, Breathing Apparatus, Safety body Harness, Air Line Breathing Apparatus)	(For all categories)	(For all categories)	✓ (For all categories)	✓ (For all categories)	(For all categories)

IMPORTANT: If certain indicators do not apply to a specific category of cities, their marks will be redistributed proportionally among the applicable indicators.

INDICATOR 6.2 - Adequate Workforce

Does the city have an adequate number of workforce available for septic tank/sewer cleaning work?

OBJECTIVE

The objective is to assess whether the city has adequate workforce to carry out septic tank and sewer cleaning operations effectively.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out through desktop assessment by assessing the documents along with photographic evidences provided against this indicator by the ULB with sign and stamp of the Nodal Officer.

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY					
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)	
—	√	✓	✓	√	

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 90
Does the city have an adequate number of trained sewermen available for cleaning work?	25
Does the city have an adequate number of sanitary beldar available for cleaning work?	25
Does the city have an adequate number of Trained and Notified Sewer Entry Professionals (SEPs) - (considered as those employed by ULB and not private) available for cleaning work?	40

IMPORTANT POINTS

- 1. ULB needs to update the details of each parameter on Swachhatam Portal.
- 2. CPHEEO calculator to be used by the ULBs

INDICATOR 6.3 - Institutional Parameters

Is the ULB ensuring sanitation safety, compliance, and operational desludging services with zero fatalities?

OBJECTIVE

The objective is to assess whether the ULB has implemented measures for safe sanitation practices, including notifying RSA and SRU, banning hazardous manual entry, registering private sanitation service providers, ensuring septic tanks comply with IS 2470 standards, maintaining zero sanitation-related fatalities, and providing operational helplines for desludging services.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out through desktop assessment by assessing the documents along with direct observation capturing photographic evidences.

Citizen Validation

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY

Very Small	Small	Medium	Big	Million Plus
(< 20k Population)	(20k - 50k Population)	(50k - 3 Lakh Population)	(3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	(> 10 Lakh population)
✓	✓	✓	✓	

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 150
Has the RSA and SRU been notified by the ULB?	30
Has the ULB enforced a ban on hazardous manual entry without safety gear?	30
Has the ULB ensured compulsory registration of all private sanitation service providers through Eol, news ads, or website?	20
Are all septic tanks constructed after January 1, 2021, compliant with IS 2470 (Parts 1 & 2)?	20
Has the ULB reported zero sanitation-related fatalities in the past 36 calendar months?	20
Is the helpline operational?(14420 or other); desludging related service being offered?	30

SECTION 7: ADVOCACY FOR SWACHHATA

1,500 MARKS, 15%

No.	Indicator Description	Marks
7.1	Swachh Tulip	100
7.2	Campaigns by MoHUA	300
7.3	Campaigns by ULBs	300
7.4	IEC	350
7.5	Capacity Building	350
7.6	Behaviour change programme in educational institutions	100
	TOTAL	1,500

INDICATOR 7.1-Swachh Tulip

Is the ULB actively promoting youth participation in cleanliness initiatives through programs like Swachh Tulip?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB is effectively engaging and encouraging youth participation in sanitation and cleanliness activities through initiatives like the Swachh Tulip program to foster a sense of responsibility and ownership among young citizens

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out through desktop assessment by assessing the documents along with photographic evidences provided against this indicator by the ULB with sign and stamp of the Nodal Officer.

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)
\otimes	\otimes	\otimes	√	✓

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 100
Criteria as per population category	
At least 5 intern for Big cities category	100
At least 7 intern for Million Plus cities category	100

IMPORTANT POINTS

1. ULB needs to provide the list of interns under Swachh tulip program Swachhatam Portal.

INDICATOR 7.2 - Campaigns by MoHUA

Has the ULB participated in the campaigns initiated by MoHUA?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB is actively participating in the campaigns driven by MoHUA to promote cleanliness, improve sanitation facilities, and raise awareness about the role of cleanliness in disease prevention within the community.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out through desktop assessment by assessing the documents along with photographic evidences provided against this indicator by the ULB with sign and stamp of the Nodal Officer.

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY						
Very Small (< 20k Population)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
—	✓	/	✓	~		

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 300
Has the ULB participated in the Swachhta Hi Sewa campaign initiated by MoHUA?	100
Is the ULB actively involved in the Clean Toilet Campaign to promote sanitation and hygiene?	100
Has the ULB taken steps to implement the Safai Apnao Bimari Bhagao campaign within its jurisdiction?	100

IMPORTANT POINTS

1. ULB needs to provide the photographic evidences against the participation in the campaigns.

INDICATOR 7.3 - Campaigns by ULBs

Has the ULB conducted various drives through citizen participation, Swachhta champions for promoting cleanliness?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess the ULB's citizen-participatory cleanliness drives, including plastic cleanup, water body cleaning, and waste reduction initiatives, while engaging local ambassadors and recognizing Swachhata champions.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out through citizen validation as well as desktop assessment by assessing the documents provided against this indicator by the ULB with sign and stamp of the Nodal Officer.

Citizen Validation

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY					
Very SmallSmallMediumBigMillion Plus(< 20k Population)					
\(\)	✓	~	✓	✓	

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 150+75+75 = 300	
Has the ULB conducted various drives involving citizen participation, such as single-use plastic cleanup, cleanliness around water bodies, zero-waste events, 'Shop with Your Plastic,' or similar initiatives?		
At least 4 drives	150	
At least 3 drives	125	
At least 3 drives	100	
At least 2 drives	75	
At least 1 drives	50	
Is the ULB recognizing Swachhata champions to promote clea	nliness and sanitation initiatives?	
Yes minimum 3 men and 3 women recognized	75	
Yes minimum 2 men and 2 women recognized	50	
Yes minimum 1 men and 1 women recognized	25	
Is the ULB engaging local brand ambassadors to promote clea	nliness and sanitation initiatives?	
Cities with >10 L Population: Minimum 3 Brand Ambassadors		
Cities with 1-10 L Population: Minimum 2 Brand Ambassadors	75	
Cities with <1 L Population: Minimum 1 Brand Ambassadors		

INDICATOR 7.4 - IEC

Has the ULB conducted IEC campaigns on waste collection, segregation, licensed operators, SBM messages, and desludging services?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess the ULB's IEC activities promoting waste segregation, service delivery via ICT, registered operators, and providing citizens with helplines for desludging, complaints, and safety information.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out through citizen validation as well as desktop assessment by assessing the documents provided against this indicator by the ULB with sign and stamp of the Nodal Officer.

Citizen Validation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY			
Very SmallSmallMediumBigMillion Plus(< 20k Population)(20k - 50k Population)(50k - 3 Lakh Population)(3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)(> 10 Lakh population)				
~	✓	✓	✓	✓

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 350
Has the ULB conducted IEC (Information, Education, and Communication) campaigns to promote door-to-door waste collection among citizens?	50
Is the ULB carrying out IEC campaigns to encourage segregation of waste at the household level?	50
Are SBM messages prominently displayed on in the ULB including Community Toilets (CTs), Public Toilets (PTs) and public places including tourist places (include religious place, heritage site, beach etc.) to promote their proper usage?	50
Does every ULB office have plaques prominently displaying information about helplines, safety measures, and penalties related to Swachhata initiatives?	50
Is the ULB utilizing ICT tools such as mobile apps, websites, ICCC, and geotagging of vehicles or septic tanks for efficient service delivery?	50
Has the ULB communicated IEC messages about the availability of helplines for citizens to request desludging services, report issues related to septic tanks and sewer lines, and address Safaimitras' grievances?	50
Has the ULB conducted IEC campaigns to inform citizens about engaging only registered/licensed operators for desludging services and the penalties for non-compliance?	50

IMPORTANT POINTS

1. ULB needs to provided by the ULB during desktop assessment and same should be updated on Swachhtam portal as well.

INDICATOR 7.5 - Capacity Building

Has the ULB conducted training, workshops, seminars, or peer visits for sanitary workers and staff on sanitation topics?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to evaluate the ULB's efforts in conducting training, workshops, and peer visits for sanitary workers and staff on sanitation, waste management, and related safety and remediation practices.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out through desktop assessment by assessing the documents along with photographic evidences provided against this indicator by the ULB with sign and stamp of the Nodal Officer.

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	, and the second				
1	*	√	√	✓	

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 350
Has the ULB conducted any training for sanitary workers and ULB staff (such as administrative staff, sanitary inspectors, and MIS operators) to enhance their knowledge and skills in sanitation and waste management?	125
Has the ULB organized workshops or seminars focused on solid waste management, used water management, Safaimitra Suraksha, legacy waste remediation, or other sanitation and waste management topics?	125
Has the ULB conducted any peer visits to other cities to learn and implement best practices in sanitation and waste management?	100

IMPORTANT POINTS

1. ULB needs to update list of residential areas in Swachhatam Portal.

<u>INDICATOR 7.6 - Behaviour change programme</u> <u>in Schools</u>

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to spread awareness, instill ownership and drive sustainable adoption of healthy sanitation and waste management practices among students and staff by integrating principles of circular economy (reuse, reduce, recycle)

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out by visiting the schools (public/ private/ Government/ Municipal Schools) within the ULB's jurisdiction. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations.

Citizen Validation

Direct Observation

Desktop Assessment

	APPLICABILITY			
Very SmallSmallMediumBigMillion Plus(< 20k Population)(20k - 50k Population)(50k - 3 Lakh Population)(3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)(> 10 Lakh population)				
*	✓	✓	✓	*

SCHEME OF MARKING	MARKS:100
Campus cleanliness drives, awareness campaigns conducted on cleanliness and hygiene	20
Single-use plastic ban enforcement in school campus including canteens and hostels	10
Extracurricular activities focused on environmental sustainability	20
Has the School initiated any Behaviour Change Campaign/Education content on Swachhata for students	10
Formation of Eco-club in Schools for swachhata activities and advocacy	20
1 institution 1 RRR Centre	20

IMPORTANT POINTS

- 1. ULB needs to update list of Schools on Swachhatam Portal.
- 2.At least 80% Government Schools to be assessed in this indicator

SECTION 8: ECOSYSTEM STRENGTHENING & INSTITUTIONAL PARAMETERS

1,000 MARKS, 10%

No.	Indicator Description	Marks
8.2	Swachh Ward Ranking	200
8.3	Project Management	800
	TOTAL	1,000

INDICATOR 8.1-Swachh Ward Ranking

Has the ULB conducted an internal assessment of wards based on swachhta parameters to identify gaps and improve sanitation practices?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to evaluate the ULB's internal assessment of wards based on cleanliness parameters, aiming to identify gaps and improve sanitation practices..

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out through desktop assessment by assessing the documents along with photographic evidences provided against this indicator by the ULB with sign and stamp of the Nodal Officer.

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY						
Very Small (< 20k Population)	, and the second					
~	✓	✓	✓	✓		

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 200
Has the ULB conducted an internal assessment of wards based on swachhta parameters to identify gaps and improve the Swachh Ward ranking?	200

IMPORTANT POINTS

1. ULB needs to update the details of Swachh Ward Rankings on Swachhatam Portal.

INDICATOR 8.2 - Project Management - Sanctioning and Approval

Are all sanctioned projects mapped with land on GMIS, and are all fields for each project filled and updated?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to evaluate the ULB's adherence to mapping all sanctioned projects under SBM and ensuring that all project fields are filled and status updated for comprehensive tracking.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out through desktop assessment by assessing the GMIS portal and documents along with photographic evidences provided against this indicator by the ULB with sign and stamp of the Nodal Officer.

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY					
Very Small (< 20k Population)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
✓	~	√	✓	✓	

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 800		
Sanctioning and Approval			
Are all (100%) sanctioned projects mapped on GMIS along with the corresponding land information?	150		
Are all (100%) the required fields for each project on GMIS filled and regularly updated to ensure accurate data tracking?	150		
Tendering and commissioning			
Percentage of the sanctioned projects that are tendered (All projects should be mapped on GMIS to qualify for this indicator)	300		
Marks Scored = $\left[rac{Number\ of\ sanctioned\ projects\ tendered}{Total\ sanctioned\ projects\ of\ the\ ULB} ight]$ x Maximum Marks for the indicator			
On-ground Implementation			
Percentage of projects being implemented on ground and progress updated on GMIS regularly (All projects should be mapped on GMIS to qualify for this indicator)	200		
$Marks\ Scored = [rac{Number\ of\ sanctioned\ projects\ implemented\ on\ ground\ with\ progress\ updated\ on\ GMIS}{Total\ sanctioned\ projects\ of\ the\ ULB}]\ x\ Maxing$	num Marks for the indicator		

IMPORTANT POINTS

1. ULB needs to update the status of all sanctioned projects on GMIS portal.

SECTION 9: OVERALL WELFARE OF SANITATION WORKERS

500 MARKS, 5%

No.	Indicator Description	Marks
9.1	Overall Welfare of Sanitation Workers	250
9.2	Welfare of SafaiMitras (permanent/outsourced/contractual)	250
	TOTAL	500

<u>INDICATOR 9.1 – Welfare of Sanitation Workers</u>

Are all sanitation workers linked to at least three government schemes, provided PPE kits, and are there special welfare initiatives implemented by the ULB?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB has ensured that all sanitation workers are linked to eligible government schemes, provided with PPE kits, and benefited from any special initiatives taken for their overall welfare, as per the guidelines.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out desktop assessment. Photographic evidences will be required for verification during desktop assessment.

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY					
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)	
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 250
All Sanitation workers to be linked with at least three eligible government schemes. (Linkage with Health scheme and Annual health Check-up is mandatory for Sanitary workers and with Health)	100
PPE kits to be given to all the workers	100
Any other special initiative take by the ULB for Overall Welfare of Sanitation Workers	50

IMPORTANT POINTS

- 1. Assessors will conduct and video record interviews with sanitation workers (without any ULB official) to assess their awareness and understanding of:
 - Linkage with at least three eligible government schemes (Linkage with Health scheme and Annual health Check-up is mandatory for Sanitary workers and with Health)
 - Provision of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) kits
 - Special welfare initiatives implemented by the Urban Local Body (ULB) for sanitation workers
- 2. Special welfare initiatives such as resting rooms, help desks, washing facilities, and cancer screenings for sanitation workers

69

INDICATOR 9.2 - Welfare of SafaiMitras

Does the ULB ensure sanitation workers' safety with PPE, access to welfare schemes, digital record-keeping, annual health check-ups, and other welfare initiatives?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to assess whether the ULB has ensured that all sanitation workers are linked to eligible government schemes, provided with PPE kits, and benefited from any special initiatives taken for their overall welfare, as per the guidelines.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out desktop assessment. Photographic evidences will be required for verification during desktop assessment.

Desktop Assessment

APPLICABILITY				
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)
✓	✓	✓	✓	√

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 250
Do all engaged sanitation workers use Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)?	60
Have all sewermen and beldars been facilitated to connect with at least three eligible government welfare schemes (e.g., Ayushman Bharat, Life/Accident Insurance, NAMASTE)?	60
Is the ULB maintaining a digital record of all sewermen and sanitary beldars, including privately engaged personnel, with details such as name, address, contact information, gender, and any special needs?	
Are annual health check-ups mandatory?	30
Are Safaimitras (SSWs), including outsourced personnel, profiled on the NAMASTE app?	30
Does the ULB offer special welfare initiatives for sanitation workers, such as resting rooms, help desks, washing facilities, and cancer screenings?	30

IMPORTANT POINTS

- 1. Assessors will conduct and video record interviews with Sewer men and sanitary beldars to assess their awareness and understanding of:
 - Linkage with at least three eligible government welfare schemes (e.g., Ayushman Bharat, Life/Accident Insurance, NAMASTE)?
 - Provision of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) kits
 - Special welfare initiatives such as resting rooms, help desks, washing facilities, and cancer screenings?

, ,

SECTION 10: CITIZEN FEEDBACK & GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL

1,000 MARKS, 10%

No.	Indicator Description	Marks
10.1	Citizen Feedback	500
10.2	Grievance Redressal	500
	TOTAL	1,000

INDICATOR 10.1 - Citizen Feedback

Is citizen feedback collected on waste collection, segregation, cleanliness, RRR centers, grievance redressal, and sewer/septic tank maintenance?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to gather citizen feedback on waste management, cleanliness, grievance redressal, and the maintenance of drains, toilets, RRR centers, and sewer/septic systems within it's jurisdiction

	APPLICABILITY					
Very Small (< 20k Population)						
—	~	✓	✓	✓		

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 500
Citizen Feedback[Questions will be around D2D collection of waste, segregation, cleanliness around drains/ toilets/ nearby areas, RRR centre, enforcement of fines related to spitting, littering, open urination, waste burning, and illegal dumping, grievance redressal, sewer and septic tank cleaning, compliance etc.]	500
Marks Scored = $\left[\frac{Total\ positive\ responses}{Total\ responses} ight]$ x Maximum Marks for the ir	ndicator

IMPORTANT POINTS

- 1. 80% weightage will be given to feedbacks collected by Third Party Assessment body on ground.
- 2.20% weightage will be distributed equally among all the other sources through which citizen feedbacks will be collected.

INDICATOR 10.2 - Grievance Redressal

Is grievance redressal effectively managed through the Swachhata App/local app/SBM-U WhatsApp Channel)?

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the indicator is to ensure effective and efficient grievance redressal through the Swachhata App/ local application/SBM-U WhatsApp Channel) within the ULB's jurisdiction

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for this indicator will be carried out through desktop assessment by analyzing the Swachhta app/Local App/SBM-U WhatsApp Channel data.

APPLICABILITY					
Very Small (< 20k Population)	Small (20k - 50k Population)	Medium (50k - 3 Lakh Population)	Big (3 Lakh - 10 Lakh population)	Million Plus (> 10 Lakh population)	
—	~	√	✓	√	

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 500			
Grievance Redressal through Swachhata App/Local App/SBM-U WhatsApp Channel	500			
$Marks\ Scored = [rac{Number\ of\ complaints\ resolved\ -Reopened\ complaints\ -2\ X\ Fake\ resolution}{Total\ Complaint\ in\ the\ city}]\ x\ Maximum\ Marks\ for\ the\ indicator$				

IMPORTANT POINTS

 The Swachh Bharat Mission-Urban WhatsApp channel for citizen complaints and feedback is currently under testing and has not been officially released yet. This initiative aims to provide citizens with a direct, convenient way to share feedback and register complaints, improving communication and responsiveness in urban sanitation and waste management

RIVER TOWN ASSESSMENT

Are the Riverbanks in the town being regularly maintained for cleanliness and sanitation, ensuring waste management, litter-free surroundings, and proper facilities for visitors?

OBJECTIVE

The objective is to assess whether the Riverbanks in the town are regularly maintained for cleanliness and sanitation, with effective waste management, litter-free surroundings, and adequate facilities for visitors.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for all indicators will be carried out by visiting all the Riverbanks in the ULB. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations as per the indicator.

SCHEME OF MARKING	MAX MARKS: 100
1. No. of Open dumpsites on or near the riverbank	10
2. No. of Garbage Vulnerable Points (GVPs) on or near the riverbank	10
3. No Solid Waste floating on the river (passing through ULB's jurisdiction)	10
4. Availability of Anti-Littering messages on area near Riverbanks accessible to citizens	10
5. Availability of twin litter Bins (in every 50 meters) at each Riverbank accessible to citizens	10
6. Sweeping & Cleaning arrangements – at least once a day sweeping/cleaning around all Riverbanks	10
7. Availability of Screens at the discharge point of Nallahs near the riverbank	10
8. Cleaning & removal of waste from Nallah Screens (excl. those in STPs)	10
$Marks$ $Scored = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{Total\ Direct\ Observation\ Samples\ Passed \end{bmatrix}$ \times $Maximum\ Marks\ for\ the\ indicates$	ator

COASTAL CITIES ASSESSMENT

OBJECTIVE

The objective is to assess whether the beach and nearby area in the town are regularly maintained for cleanliness and sanitation, with effective waste management, litter-free surroundings, and adequate sanitation facilities for tourists.

VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

The validation for all indicators will be carried out by visiting all the beach and nearby areas in the ULB. Photographs and videos will be captured at the sampled locations as per the indicator.

SCHEME OF MARKING MAX M. 20		
Indicator	Description	Marks
Cleanliness of beach	 Litter-free area, Plastic-free zone, No red/yellow spots Measures to prevent waste from entering the sea (e.g., nets on drains, awareness boards) Enforcement against littering and plastic use on the beach 	25
Sweeping frequency	Sweeping at beach/coastline should be at least 2 times/day in high- footfall areas; evidence of cleaning schedule and cleanliness of area	25
Availability of litter bins	Availability of litter bins, should not be overflowing at any point of time	25
Nearest transport hub (Rly Station/Bus Station/Auto Stand)	Cleanliness including litter free area, No Red/Yellow spots or open drains, clean and well-maintained Public Toilet, Vending zones	25
Availability and cleanliness of public toilets near coastal areas	All indicators of Public toilet to be assessed here	25
Messaging and Signages	Availability of SBM messages, tourist-friendly signages (Do's and Don'ts), QR code for feedback on cleanliness and other services in the area (Clean Signages)	25
IEC activities around	Cleanliness drives or IEC activities conducted at beach	25
Vending zone	All shops to have litter bins (Not overflowing), messaging on no littering & SUP ban adherence	25

Note: Assessment will be carried out during the peak time such as evening in Summers and Day time in winters

SUPER SWACHH LEAGUE

"SUPER SWACHH LEAGUE" is an exclusive league introduced to recognize cities that excel in cleanliness and sanitation standards under Swachh Survekshan. Cities that demonstrate extraordinary performance, based on their previous Swachh Survekshan Ranking (SS21, SS22 & SS23) have become a part of this league for the current year. They will be assessed on parameters additional to those in the Swachh Survekshan. This initiative celebrates and motivates consistent excellence in urban sanitation practices. There will be no ranking among the cities in the Super Swachh League, as the initiative aims to motivate consistent excellence in urban sanitation practices among these top-performing cities.

How "Super Swachh League" were selected and how to stay on?

"SUPER SWACHH LEAGUE" currently includes the top ranked cities of their respective population categories. These cities have been ranked as Top 3 in at least 2 of the Swachh Survekshan editions conducted in the year 2021, 2022, and 2023. These ULBs, in their respective population categories, now form the "Super Swachh League". These cities will now be assessed on an additional set of aspirational indicators to remain in this special category of high-performing cities.

Inclusion Criteria: Moving ahead, top 3 ranked cities in each population category will move into the "Super Swachh League" for the subsequent year.

Exclusion Criteria: ULB should score at least 85% in Swachh Survekshan to retain their position in the league for subsequent years.









आवासन और शहरी कार्य मंत्रालय भारत सरकार MINISTRY OF HOUSING AND URBAN AFFAIRS GOVERNMENT OF INDIA